arXiv:nlin/0611006v1 [nlin.SI] 3 Nov 2006

THE $n \times n$ KDV FLOWS

CHUU-LIAN TERNG[†] AND KAREN UHLENBECK[*]

Abstract. We introduce a new integrable system hierarchy which is a restriction of the AKNS $n\times n$ hierarchy coming from an unusual splitting of the loop algebra. This splitting comes from an automorphism of the loop algebra instead of an automorphism of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. It is known that the 2×2 KdV is the standard KdV hierarchy. We construct a gauge equivalence of this $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy with the Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy. We show that the tau function of Wilson has many of the same properties of the usual tau function.

Introduction

While an exact definition of an integrable system is illusive, mathematicians generally agree that the hallmarks of the the theory are an infinite number of commuting flows, formal direct and inverse scattering theory, symplectic structures and Bäcklund transformations. More recently, because of the connections with quantum cohomology, one might add tau functions and actions of Virasoro algebra. From our viewpoint we take the basic model formulated by Ablowitz, Kaul, Newell and Segur (AKNS), the $n \times n$ model generalizing the 2×2 non-linear Schröedinger hierarchy, as the basic construction. The various ingredients in this model are fairly well-known, and a definition of a tau function we use is due to Wilson.

There are a number of possible restrictions of this theory in which the general hierarchies described by AKNS restrict to more specialized hierarchies.These include the Kuperschmidt-Wilson flows and the modified KdV hierarchy defined by Drinfeld and Sokolov. There are also several models in which matrices are replaced by more specialized Lie Algebras, and the formalism carries over. However, this same formalism does not carry over directly to the Gelfand-Dickey (GD) hierarchies.

In this paper we construct a Lie subalgebra of the algebra of power series with values in SL(n,C) and a splitting to construct an apparently new hierarchy, which we call the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy. The Kuperschmidt- Wilson and mKdV flows turn out to be equivalent; likewise under a gauge transformation these $n \times n$ flows are equivalent in the sense of Drinfeld- Sokolov to the GD hierarchy. The advantage is that the same recipe for scattering, inverse scattering, Bäcklund transformations, symplectic structures and tau

---

[†]Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0529756.

[*]Research supported in part by the Sid Richardson Regents' Chair Funds, University of Texas system and NSF grant DMS-0305505 .

functions holds for AKNS and $n \times n$ KdV. Wilson's tau function generates the n - 1 variables.

In a later paper we show that the Virasoro algebra which arises naturally as a symmetry of the formal scattering data of AKNS, is the Virasoro symmetry of GD flows.

The plan of the paper is as follows: Section 1 reviews a general construction of a hierarchy of commuting flows from a Lie algebra splitting and factorization in the big cell. We also describe the basic examples of the theory, which include the AKNS hierarchy, the Kuperschmidt-Wilson hierarchy, and the $n \times n$ mKdV hierarchy. In section 2 we give the restriction which is used to obtain the $n \times n$ KdV and prove that the splitting is consistent with the restriction. In section 3 we show the equivalence with the GD flows. In section 4 we review Wilson's constructions of a μ function on the big cell of the loop group via the central extension and apply it to construct tau functions for $n \times n$ KdV flows. This construction is similar in spirit to the construction of Segal and Wilson using determinant bundles (which give a central extension for groups given by operators in a Hilbert space). We also prove in this section that the n-1 dependent variables in the $n \times n$ KdV flows are computable from the second derivatives of $\ln(\tau)$.

1. A GENERAL METHOD OF CONSTRUCTING SOLITON HIERARCHY

We review the method that generates a hierarchy of commuting flows from a splitting of a Lie algebra.

Definition 1.1. Let L be a formal Lie group, $\mathcal{L}$ its Lie algebra, and $L_\pm$ subgroups of L with Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_\pm$. The pair $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is called a *splitting* of $\mathcal{L}$ if

1. $\mathcal{L}_+ \cap \mathcal{L}_- = 0$,
2. $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-$ as linear subspaces,
3. the multiplication maps $L_+ \times L_- \to L$ and $L_- \times L_+ \to L$ defined by $(f_+, f_-) \mapsto f_+ f_-$ and $(f_-, f_+) \mapsto f_- f_+$ are one to one and the images are open and dense. We call the open set $\mathcal{O} = (L_+ L_-) \cap (L_- L_+)$ the *big cell* of L.

Definition 1.2. A sequence $\mathcal{J} = \{J_i \mid i \geq 1, \text{integer}\}$ in $\mathcal{L}_+$ is called a *vacuum sequence* of the splitting $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ if

1. $[J_i, J_j] = 0$ for all i, j and $\mathcal{J}$ generates a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_+$,
2. the J_i's are linearly independent in $\mathcal{L}_+$,
3. J_j lies in the subalgebra generated by J_1 in the universal enveloping algebra.

Let $\pi_\pm$ denote the projection of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ with respect to the sum $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-$. Set Y to be the subspace

$$Y = \pi_+([J_1, \mathcal{L}_-]).$$

In the examples we give $\mathcal{L}$ is a subalgebra of the algebra of loops in $gl(n)$, and $J_1 = a\lambda + b$ and $\pi_+([\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{L}_-]) = [a, \mathcal{L}_{-1}]$, where $\mathcal{L}_{-1} = \{\xi \in gl(n) \mid \xi\lambda^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_-\}$. Assume further that given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, there is a unique $M \in L_-$ such that

$$M(\partial_x - J_1)M^{-1} = \partial_x - (J_1 + u).$$

Such M is usually called a *Baker function* or *bare wave function* of $\partial_x - (J_1 + u)$, and $f = M(0) \in L_-$ the *scattering data* for the operator $\partial_x - (J_1 + u)$. Then there is a standard method of generating a hierarchy of commuting flows on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$. The j-th flow associated to $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathcal{J}$ is defined by the Lax pair,

$$[\partial_x - (J_1 + u), \quad \partial_{t_j} - \pi_+(MJ_jM^{-1})] = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_j} = [\partial_x - (J_1 + u), \quad \pi_+(MJ_jM^{-1})].$$

So if u is a solution of the j-th flow, then there is a unique solution $E(x, t_j)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x E = (J_1 + u)E, \\ \partial_{t_j} E = \pi_+(MJ_jM^{-1})E, \\ E(0,0) = \mathrm{I}. \end{cases}$$

We call E the *frame* of the solution u.

Note that $u = 0$ is a solution (called the *vacuum solution*), and its frame is $V(x, t_j) = \exp(J_1x + J_jt_j)$ (called the *vacuum frame*).

Formal inverse scattering

By condition (3) of the splitting, given g in the big cell $\mathcal{O}$ of L, g can be factored uniquely as $g = f_+f_-^{-1} = g_-^{-1}g_+$ with $f_\pm \in L_\pm$ and $g_\pm \in L_\pm$. We can use this factorization to construct a local solution of the flows for each $f \in L_-$.

Given $f \in L_-$, we factor $V(x,t)f^{-1} = M^{-1}(x,t)E(x,t)$ with $M(x,t) \in L_-$ and $E(x,t) \in L_+$, where $V(x,t)$ is the vacuum frame of the j-th flow. Then

$$u_f = \pi_+(MJ_1M^{-1}) - J_1 \tag{1.1}$$

is a solution of the j-th flow, E is the frame of u_f, and $f = M(0,0)$.

We call the above construction of solution u_f from f the *formal inverse scattering*. This formal inverse scattering solves the Cauchy problem for all flows as follows: Given an initial data $u_0 : \mathbb{R} \to Y$, assume that there is a bare wave function M_0 for $\partial_x - (J + u_0)$. The *scattering data* of u_0 is $f = M_0(0)$. Then $u = u_f$ is a solution of the j-th flow such that $u_f(x, 0) = u_0(x)$.

Example 1.3. The $n \times n$ AKNS flows [1, 7]

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ be a basis of the space of diagonal matrices in $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that a_1 has distinct eigenvalues. Let $L(SL(n))$ denote the group of smooth loops $f : S^1 \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $L_+(SL(n))$ the subgroup of $f \in L(SL(n))$ that can be extended holomorphically to $|\lambda| < 1$, and $L_-(SL(n))$ the subgroup of $f \in L(SL(n))$ that can be extended holomorphically to $\infty = |\lambda| > 1$ and $f(\infty) = \mathrm{I}$. The corresponding Lie algebras are

$$\mathcal{L}(sl(n)) = \{A(\lambda) = \sum_i A_i z^i \mid A_i \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_+(sl(n)) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n)) \mid A(\lambda) = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j \lambda^j\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_-(sl(n)) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n)) \mid A(\lambda) = \sum_{j < 0} A_j \lambda^j\}.$$

The Birkhoff Factorization Theorem states that the multiplication map $L_+(SL(n)) \times L_-(SL(n)) \to L(SL(n))$ is one to one and its image is an open and dense subset of $L(SL(n))$. Similar result holds for the multiplication map $L_-(SL(n)) \times L_+(SL(n)) \to L(SL(n))$. So $(\mathcal{L}_+(sl(n)), \mathcal{L}_-(sl(n)))$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n))$. The countable set

$$\mathcal{J} = \{a_i \lambda^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \geq 1\}$$

is a vacuum sequence of this splitting with $J_1 = a_1 z$. Then

$$Y = \pi_+([a_1\lambda, \mathcal{L}_-]) = \{u = (u_{ij}) \in sl(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid u_{ii} = 0, \ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

The hierarchy of flows constructed from this splitting and $\mathcal{J}$ is the $n \times n$ AKNS flows. Given $f \in L_-(sl(n))$, the solution u_f given by (1.1) is

$$u_f = [M_{-1}, a_1],$$

where M_{-1} is the coefficient of λ^{-1} of M.

Example 1.4. The n-wave (or $SU(n)$) hierarchy [11]

Let $\mathcal{L}(sl(n))$ and $\mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n))$ be as in the $n \times n$ AKNS flows, and σ the involution of $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by $\sigma(\xi) = -\xi^* = -\bar{\xi}^t$. Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be the involution on $\mathcal{L}(sl(n))$ defined by

$$\hat{\sigma}(A)(\lambda) = -\sigma(A(\bar{\lambda})) = -(A(\bar{\lambda}))^*.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the fixed point set of $\hat{\sigma}$, i.e., $A \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $A(\lambda) = -(A(\bar{\lambda}))^*$. Set $\mathcal{L}_\pm = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n))$. Then $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ be linearly independent diagonal matrices in $su(n)$ such that a_1 has distinct eigenvalues. Set $J_1 = a_1\lambda$, and $\mathcal{J} = \{a_i\lambda^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \geq 1\}$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is a vacuum sequence in $\mathcal{L}_+$ and

$$Y = \pi_+([a_1\lambda, \mathcal{L}_-]) = \{u = (u_{ij}) \in su(n) \mid u_{ii} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

The hierarchy of flows constructed from this splitting and $\mathcal{J}$ are flows on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$. The flow generated by $a_2\lambda$ is the n-wave equation. When $n = 2$, the flow generated by $a_1\lambda^2$ is the NLS.

There are many more restrictions of the $n \times n$ AKNS flows one can construct to generate interesting hierarchies cf. [7].

Example 1.5. The Kupershmidt-Wilson (KW) flows [5]

Let C be the permutation matrix $e_{21}+e_{32}+\cdots+e_{n,n-1}+e_{1n}$, and $L(sl(n))$ and $L_{\pm}(sl(n))$ as in the $n \times n$ AKNS flows. Let σ be the automorphism of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n))$ defined by

$$\sigma(A)(\lambda) = C^{-1}A(\alpha^{-1}\lambda)C.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the fixed point set of σ. In other words, $A \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if

$$C^{-1}A(\alpha^{-1}\lambda)C = A(\lambda).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\pm} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\pm}(sl(n))$, and

$$a = \mathrm{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}), \quad J_1 = J = a\lambda, \quad \text{where } \alpha = e^{2\pi i/n}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{J^i \mid i \geq 1 \,\text{integer}\}$ is a vacuum sequence in $\mathcal{L}_+$. The space

$$Y = \pi_+([a\lambda, \mathcal{L}_-]) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i C^i \mid u_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is of dimension $n-1$. The flows given by this splitting and vacuum sequence are the KW flows. When $n = 2$, this gives exactly the hierarchy of mKdV

$$q_t = \frac{1}{4}(q_{xxx} - 6q^2 q_x).$$

So the KW hierarchy is a natural $n \times n$ generalization of the standard complex mKdV hierarchy.

Example 1.6. The $n \times n$ mKdV flows (Drinfeld-Sokolov [3])

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(sl(n))$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \{A(z) = \sum_{i>0} A_i z^i + A_0 \mid A_0 \in \mathcal{B}_+\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_- = \{A(z) = \sum_{i<0} A_i z^i + A_0 \mid A_0 \in \mathcal{N}_-\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{B}_+$ is the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices in $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{N}_-$ is the subalgebra of strictly lower triangular matrices in $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $J = e_{n1}z + b$, where

$$b = e_{12} + e_{23} + \cdots + e_{n-1,n}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n))$, and $\mathcal{J} = \{J^j \mid j \geq 1, \text{ integer}\} \subset \mathcal{L}_+$ is a vacuum sequence. The space

$$Y = \pi_+([J, \mathcal{L}_-]) = \{\mathrm{diag}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \mid u_j \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_j = 0\}.$$

Since J is regular in the loop algebra, there is a bare wave functions for $\partial_x - (J + u)$ with $u : \mathbb{R} \to Y$. To see this, we use $z = \lambda^n$ and construct an equivalent splitting in λ loops for the $n \times n$ mKdV. First note that J is conjugate to $p\lambda$ as follows:

$$z = \lambda^n, \quad J = e_{n1}\lambda^n + b = D(\lambda)^{-1}\, p\lambda\, D(\lambda).$$

Here

$$D(\lambda) = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^{n-2}, \ldots, 1), \quad p = e_{12} + e_{23} + \ldots + e_{n-1,n} + e_{n1},$$

(p is the permutation matrix $(12\cdots n)$). Set

$$z = \lambda^n.$$

We use the isomorphism $\mathrm{Ad}(D(\lambda))$ and $z = \lambda^n$ to construct an equivalent splitting as follows: Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) = \{D(\lambda)B(\lambda^n)D(\lambda)^{-1} \mid B \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n))\},$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm(\lambda) = \{D(\lambda)B(\lambda^n)D(\lambda)^{-1} \mid B \in \mathcal{L}_\pm\}.$$

In other words, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$ is the subalgebra of $A(\lambda) = \sum_j A_j\lambda^j$ that satisfies the following reality condition

$$D(\lambda)^{-1}A(\lambda)D(\lambda) = D(\alpha\lambda)^{-1}A(\alpha\lambda)D(\alpha\lambda),$$

where $\alpha = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Thus $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm(\lambda)$ if and only if $D(\lambda)^{-1}A(\lambda)D(\lambda)$ is a power series in λ^n and the constant term is in $\mathcal{B}_+$ and in $\mathcal{N}_-$ respectively. Then $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(\lambda), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-(\lambda))$ is a splitting of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$, $\{\tilde{J}^i \mid \tilde{J} = p\lambda,\ i \geq 1\}$ is a vacuum sequence in $\mathcal{L}_+$, and

$$\tilde{Y} = \pi_+([\tilde{J}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-]) = \{\sum_{j=1}^n u_j e_{jj} \mid \sum_j u_j = 0\}.$$

Since p is regular, $\partial_x - (\tilde{J} + u)$ has a bare wave function M and the general method of constructing soliton hierarchy works. This gives the $n \times n$ mKdV hierarchy. For example, for $n = 2$ we have $u = \mathrm{diag}(q, -q)$, and

$$M\tilde{J}M^{-1} = \tilde{J} + \sum_{i \leq 0} Q_i\lambda^i$$

has the form

$$Q_{2i} = \mathrm{diag}(A_{2i}, -A_{2i}), \quad Q_{2i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{2i+1} \\ C_{2i+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These $Q_i's$ can be computed from the recursive formula

$$\partial_x Q_i - [u, Q_i] = [p, Q_{i-1}],$$

and the third flow is the mKdV $q_t = \frac{1}{4}(q_{xxx} - 6q^2q_x)$.

Remark 1.7. The KW-hierarchy and Drinfeld-Sokolov $n \times n$ mKdV hierarchy are essentially the same. To see this, we first note that:

(1) $e_{n1}\lambda^n + b$ has eigenvalues $\lambda, \alpha\lambda, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\lambda$ and can be diagonalized

$$e_{n1} + b = U(\lambda)a\lambda U(\lambda)^{-1},$$

where $b = e_{12} + e_{23} + \ldots + e_{n-1,n}$, $\alpha = e^{2\pi i/n}$, and the ij-th entry of $U(\lambda)$ is $U_{ij}(\lambda) = \alpha^{(i-1)(j-1)}\lambda^{i-1}$.

(2) The ij-th entry of $U(\lambda)^{-1}$ is $\alpha^{-(i-1)(j-1)}\lambda^{-(j-1)}$.

(3)

$$U(\alpha\lambda) = U(\lambda)C, \quad \text{where } C = e_{21} + e_{32} + \cdots + e_{1n}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}^{kw} = \mathcal{L}^{kw}_+ + \mathcal{L}^{kw}_-$ denote the splitting that gives the KW-hierarchy as in Example 1.5. Recall that $A \in \mathcal{L}^{kw}$ if and only if $CA(\alpha\lambda)C^{-1} = A(\lambda)$. By (1.2), we have

$$U(\alpha\lambda)A(\alpha\lambda)U(\alpha\lambda)^{-1} = U(\lambda)CA(\alpha\lambda)C^{-1}U(\lambda).$$

So $A \in \mathcal{L}^{kw}$ if and only if $U(\alpha\lambda)A(\alpha\lambda)U(\alpha\lambda)^{-1} = U(\lambda)A(\lambda)U(\lambda)^{-1}$, i.e., $U(\lambda)A(\lambda)U(\lambda)^{-1}$ is a power series in λ^n.

Let $\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}_+(z) + \mathcal{L}_-(z)$ denote the splitting that gives the Drinfeld-Sokolov $n \times n$ mKdV as in Example 1.6. Define the map $F : \mathcal{L}(z) \to \mathcal{L}^{kw}$ by

$$F(B)(\lambda) = U(\lambda)^{-1}B(\lambda^n)U(\lambda).$$

It follows easily from the explicit formulas for $V(\lambda)$ and $V(\lambda)^{-1}$ that F maps $\mathcal{L}_\pm(z)$ isomorphically to $\mathcal{L}^{kw}_\pm$ and maps $e_{n1}z + b$ to $a\lambda$. This shows that these two hierarchies are isomorphic under F.

Example 1.8. Drinfeld-Sokolov flows [3]

The quotient flows constructed by Drinfeld-Sokolev, which are gauge equivalent to the Gelfand-Dickey (GD) or the KdV$_n$ flows, do not fit into the general scheme given in this section. For their flows, the weight of e_{ij} is $j - i$ and the weight of λ is n. So $J = e_{n1}\lambda + b$ has weight 1, where $b = e_{12} + \cdots + e_{n-1,n}$. Let $D = \partial_x - (J + u)$ with $u = \sum_{i \geq j} u_{ij}e_{ij}$. Note that u has weight ≤ 0. Solve $DQ = 0$ with

$$Q = J + u + \text{ terms with weights } \leq 0.$$

Write $Q^j = Q_j + P_j$ such that the weight of Q_j is ≥ 0 and the weight of P_j is < 0. It can be shown that

$$[\partial_x - (J + u), \, \partial_{t_j} - Q_j] = 0$$

gives a flow on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$. Moreover,

(1) $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ acts on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ by gauge action,

(2) the space $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ is a cross section of the action, where $V_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}e_{n,i}$,

(3) these flows on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ preserve the gauge equivalence.

So the quotient flows on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)/C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ induce flows on the cross section $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, and these are the GD flows.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF $n \times n$ KDV FLOWS

In this section we construct a new restriction of the nxn AKNS integrable system. The model has some similarities with the Kuperschmidt-Wilson $n{\times}n$ extension of the modified KdV, but the method of restricting to the $n-1$ variables is more complicated. First we construct a matrix which depends on λ. When conjugated by this matrix, our variable becomes functions of λ^n rather than merely functions of λ. We then show this property is preserved under the usual splitting into the +/- loop groups used in defining the flows of AKNS. Our construction is isomorphic to the usual AKNS loop group with an unusual splitting into +/- subgroups. Under these conditions, there are n-1 variables which can appear in the flows. We then use the method we have described in section 1 to generate the infinite sequence of flows. The AKNS flows enumerated by nk vanish, and the remaining flows divide into groups of $n-1$, which is the usual way of enumerating the flows of Kuperschmidt-Wilson or Gelfand-Dickey.

These flows are a new construction and at first glance may be new. But in the next section we prove that they are gauge equivalent to the GD flows. Since our flows come from a splitting, the constructions of formal inverse scattering, Bäcklund transformations, and the tau functions work in a straightforward fashion.

Reality condition

We first explain how to get the reality condition (i.e., the finite order automorphism). The vacuum for the GD flow written as a first order system is

$$\tilde{D}_0 = \partial_x - (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b).$$

By Remark 1.7, eigenvalues of $e_{n1}\lambda^n + b$ are $\lambda, \alpha\lambda, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\lambda$, where $\alpha = \exp(2\pi i/n)$, and the matrix $e_{n1}\lambda^n + b$ can be diagonalized:

$$U(\lambda)^{-1}(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b)U(\lambda) = a\lambda, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$U(\lambda) = ((\alpha^{j-1}\lambda)^{i-1}), \quad a = \mathrm{diag}(1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}).$$

Given $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, the Bruhat decomposition states that we can factor g uniquely as ℓpv, where ℓ is strictly lower triangular, v upper triangular, and p a permutation matrix. The set of all g with $p =$ identity is called a *big cell*, which is open and dense. We will see from the discussion below that the eigenmatrix $U(\lambda)$ is in the big cell.

Suppose

$$U(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)\psi_n(\lambda)$$

with $\phi_n(\lambda)$ strictly lower triangular and $\psi_n(\lambda)$ upper triangular and $\phi_n(0) =$ I. We will use (2.1) to get the explicit formula for ϕ_n. Conjugate both sides of (2.1) by ψ_n to get

$$\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b)\phi_n(\lambda) = \psi_n(\lambda)a\lambda\psi_n(\lambda)^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_k$ denote the set of all ξ in $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ with weight k, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{X = (x_{ij}) \in sl(n,\mathbb{C}) \mid x_{ij} = 0 \text{ unless } j - i = k\}.$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j] \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}, \quad \mathcal{G}_i\mathcal{G}_j \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}, \quad \mathcal{G}_i = 0, \quad \text{if } |i| \geq n.$$

So the LHS of (2.2) lies in $\oplus_{i\leq 1}\mathcal{G}_i$ and the RHS of (2.2) lies in $\oplus_{i\geq 0}\mathcal{G}_i$. Thus (2.2) lies in $\mathcal{G}_0 + \mathcal{G}_1$. The $\mathcal{G}_0$ component of the RHS of (2.2) is $a\lambda$ and the $\mathcal{G}_1$ component of the LHS of (2.2) is b. Hence we have

$$\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b)\phi_n(\lambda) = a\lambda + b. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_- = \sum_{i<0}\mathcal{G}_i$ (the subalgebra of strictly lower triangular matrices in $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$), $\mathcal{B}_+ = \sum_{i\geq 0}\mathcal{G}_i$, and N_- and B_+ the subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ with Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_-$ and $\mathcal{B}_+$ respectively. Define $\mathcal{N}_+$, $\mathcal{B}_-$, N_+ and B_- similarly. We get an explicit formula for ϕ_n from (2.3):

Theorem 2.1. *If $\phi_n(\lambda)$ is a N_--valued degree $n-1$ polynomial satisfying (2.3) and $\phi_n(0) = \mathrm{I}$, then $\phi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i\Lambda^i\lambda^i$, where $\alpha = e^{2\pi i/n}$ and*

$$\Lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\sigma_k e_{k+1,k}, \qquad \sigma_k = \frac{1-\alpha^k}{1-\alpha}, \qquad c_k = \frac{1}{\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathrm{ad}(b)$ be the Lie algebra homomorphism defined by $\mathrm{ad}(b)(X) = [b, X]$. Write $\phi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j\lambda^j$ with $f_0 = \mathrm{I}$. We want to solve f_j from

$$(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b)\phi_n(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)(a\lambda + b).$$

Compare coefficients of λ^j to get

$$\begin{cases} bf_0 = f_0 b, \\ bf_j = f_j b + f_{j-1}a, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

By assumption $f_0 = \mathrm{I}$, so the first equation holds. The second equation, $[b, f_1] = a$, implies that $f_1 = \Lambda$. A direct computation gives

$$a\Lambda = \alpha\Lambda a, \quad a\Lambda^k = \alpha^k\Lambda^k a.$$

By induction, we get

$$[b, \Lambda^k] = \sigma_k\Lambda^{k-1}a. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\mathrm{ad}(b)$ is injective on $\mathcal{B}_-$, $f_k = c_k\Lambda^k$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $C_n(\lambda) = \mathrm{diag}(1, \lambda, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1})$. Then*

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = C_n(\lambda)\phi_n(1)C_n(\lambda)^{-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Because $\Lambda^n = 0$, we have

Proposition 2.3. $\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_j\Lambda^j\lambda^j$ *for some constants d_j with* $0 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Definition 2.4. The $n \times n$ KdV reality condition

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the Lie algebra of all power series B of the form

$$B(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j \leq n_0} A_j \lambda^{nj} \right) \phi_n(\lambda)$$

for some integer n_0. So $B \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $\phi_n(\lambda) B(\lambda) \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}$ is a power series in λ^n, i.e.,

$$\phi_n(\alpha\lambda) B(\alpha\lambda) \phi_n^{-1}(\alpha\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda) B(\lambda) \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

We call (2.6) the $n \times n$ *KdV reality condition.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ denote the Lie algebra of power series*

$$A(\lambda) = \sum_{j \leq n_0} A_j \lambda^j.$$

Here n_0 is arbitrary, $A_j \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$, and σ is the order n automorphism of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ defined by

$$\sigma(B)(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} \phi_n(\alpha\lambda) B(\alpha\lambda) \phi_n(\alpha\lambda)^{-1} \phi_n(\lambda).$$

Then $B \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ satisfies the $n \times n$ KdV reality condition if and only if B is a fixed point of σ.

Splitting of $\mathcal{L}$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the Lie algebra of $A(\lambda) = \sum_{j \leq n_0} A_j \lambda^j$ with $A_j \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ that satisfy the $n \times n$ KdV reality condition (2.6), and

$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \{A \in \mathcal{L} \mid A(\lambda) = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j \lambda^j\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_- = \{A \in \mathcal{L} \mid A(\lambda) = \sum_{j < 0} A_j \lambda^j\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_+ \cap \mathcal{L}_- = \{0\}$. The second part of the following Theorem is more difficult.

Theorem 2.6. (Splitting Theorem)

Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ be defined as above. Then

1. *$\mathcal{L}_\pm$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}$,*
2. *$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-$ as a direct sum of linear subspaces.*

Remark 2.7. In section 14 of [8], we asserted the validity of the Splitting Theorem 2.6 and the Factorization Theorem 2.11, and used them to construct a hierarchy of soliton flows and Bäcklund transformations. Later we realized that the proofs of these two Theorems, given below, are in fact non-trivial.

To prove Theorem 2.6, it suffices to prove that if A is of the form:

$$A(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}(\sum_{j \leq n_0} F_j \lambda^{nj})\phi_n(\lambda),$$

then $A_\pm \in \mathcal{L}$. Here we use the following notation:

$$A_+ = \sum_{i \geq 0} A_i \lambda^i \text{ and } \quad A_- = \sum_{i<0} A_i \lambda^i \qquad \text{if } A = \sum_i A_i \lambda^i.$$

Note that if A satisfies the reality condition, it is not clear at all that $A_\pm$ satisfies the reality condition. But note that for arbitrary F_j

$$\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} F_j \lambda^{nj}\right)\phi_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}_+ \subset \mathcal{L},$$

$$\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}\left(\sum_{j \leq -2} F_j \lambda^{nj}\right)\phi_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}_- \subset \mathcal{L}.$$

So $\phi_n^{-1} F_{-1} \lambda^{-n} \phi_n \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence to prove Theorem 2.6, it is enough to prove that $(\phi_n^{-1} F_{-1} \lambda^{-n} \phi_n)_\pm$ are in $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., they satisfies the $n \times n$ KdV reality condition. To prove this we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.8. *$\Lambda^n = 0$ and $\Lambda^j \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, i.e., the minimal polynomial of Λ is $x^n = 0$.*

Lemma 2.9. *The following statements are true for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$:*

1. $\Lambda^k = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^k} \sum_{j=k+1}^n (1-\alpha^{j-1})(1-\alpha^{j-2}) \cdots (1-\alpha^{j-k}) e_{j,j-k}$.
2. *Let* $\mathrm{Tr}_k((x_{ij})) := \sum_{j-i=k} x_{ij}$. *Then* $\mathrm{Tr}_{-k}(\Lambda^k) = \mathrm{Tr}(b^k \Lambda^k) = \frac{n}{(1-\alpha)^k}$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1-\alpha^k}{1-\alpha} e_{k+1,k}$, (1) follows.

To prove (2), we first claim that

$$\Lambda^{n-k} = b_k(c_{k-1,0} e_{n-k+1,1} + c_{k-1,1} e_{n-k+2,2} + \cdots + c_{k-1,k-1} e_{n,k}),$$

where $c_{k-1,i}$ is the coefficient of λ^{k-1-i} of

$$(\lambda - \alpha^{-1})(\lambda - \alpha^{-2}) \cdots (\lambda - \alpha^{-(k-1)})$$

and

$$b_k = \frac{n}{(1-\alpha)^{n-1} \sigma_{n-1} \sigma_{n-2} \cdots \sigma_{n-k+1}}, \quad \sigma_i = \frac{1-\alpha^i}{1-\alpha}.$$

We prove this claim by induction on k. Since

$$1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1} = (x-\alpha)(x-\alpha^2) \cdots (x - \alpha^{n-1}),$$

set $x = 1$ to get $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-\alpha^i) = n$. But

$$\Lambda^{n-1} = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\alpha^2) \cdots (1-\alpha^{n-1})}{(1-\alpha)^{n-1}} e_{n1} = \frac{n}{(1-\alpha)^{n-1}} e_{n1}.$$

So the claim is true for $k = 1$. Suppose the claim is true for $k < n-1$. By (2.4), $[b, \Lambda^{n-k}]a^{-1} = \sigma_{n-k}\Lambda^{n-(k+1)}$. So the coefficient of $e_{n-k+i,i+1}$ for Λ^{n-k-1} is

$$\frac{b_k}{\sigma_{n-k}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(c_{k-1,i} - c_{k-1,i-1})\alpha^{-i} e_{n-k+i,i+1}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c_{k-1,i} - c_{k-1,i-1})\alpha^{-i}\\
&= \alpha^{-i} \sum_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_i\leq k-1} (-1)^i \alpha^{-(j_1+\cdots+j_i)}\\
&\quad - \alpha^{-i} \sum_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_{i-1}\leq k-1} (-1)^{i-1}\alpha^{-(j_1+\cdots+j_{i-1})}\\
&= (-1)^i\Big(\sum_{2\leq j_1<\cdots<j_i\leq k} \alpha^{-(j_1+\cdots+j_{i-1})} + \sum_{2\leq j_1<\cdots<j_{k-1}\leq k} \alpha^{-(j_1+\cdots+j_{i-1})}\alpha^{-1}\Big)\\
&= c_{k,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

This also shows that $b_{k+1} = \frac{b_k}{\sigma_{n-k}}$. Thus $b_k = b_1/(\sigma_{n-1}\cdots\sigma_{n-k+1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_{n-k}(\Lambda^{n-k}) &= b_k(1-\alpha^{-1})\cdots(1-\alpha^{-(k-1)})\\
&= \frac{n(1-\alpha^{-1})\cdots(1-\alpha^{-(k-1)})}{(1-\alpha)^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}\cdots\sigma_{n-k+1}} = \frac{n}{(1-\alpha)^{n-k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *For* $\xi = \sum_j \xi_j\lambda^j$, *let* $\xi_+ = \sum_{j\geq 0}\xi_j\lambda^j$. *The following statements are true:*

1. $\Lambda^i b^{n-1}\Lambda^j = d_{ij}e_{i+1,n-j}$ *for some* $d_{ij} \neq 0$.
2. $\{\Lambda^i b^{n-1}\Lambda^j \mid i\geq 0, j\geq 0, i+j<n-1\}$ *is a basis for* $\mathcal{N}_+$.
3. *If* $F \in \mathcal{B}_-$, *then* $(\phi_n^{-1}F\lambda^{-n}\phi_n)_+ = 0$.
4. *Let* $B : \mathcal{N}_+ \to \mathcal{N}_-$ *be the linear map defined by*
$$B(\Lambda^i b^{n-1}\Lambda^j) = \Lambda^i b^t\Lambda^j, \quad i,j\geq 0,\ i+j<n-1,$$
where $b^t = e_{21}+\cdots+e_{n,n-1}$ *is the transpose of* b. *If* $F\in\mathcal{N}_+$, *then* $(\phi_n^{-1}F\lambda^{-n}\phi_n)_+ = -\phi_n^{-1}B(F)\phi_n$ *lies in* $\mathcal{L}$, *i.e., satisfies the* $n\times n$ *KdV reality condition.*
5. *If* $F\in\mathcal{N}_+$, *then* $\phi_n^{-1}(F\lambda^{-n}+B(F))\phi_n$ *is in* $\mathcal{L}_-$.
6. *If* $F\in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$, *then* $(\phi_n^{-1}F\lambda^{-n}\phi_n)_+\in\mathcal{L}$.

Proof.

(1) follows from Lemma 2.9 and the fact that $b^{n-1} = e_{1n}$.

(2) is a consequence of (1) because $i+1<n-j$ if and only if $i+j<n-1$.

(3) Recall that $\phi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}c_j\Lambda^j\lambda^j$ and $\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}d_j\Lambda^j\lambda^j$. So coefficient of λ^k of $\phi_n^{-1}F\lambda^{-n}\phi_n$ with $k\geq 0$ is

$$\sum_{i+j=n+k} c_jd_i\Lambda^iF\Lambda^j.$$

If $F \in \mathcal{B}_-$ and $i + j \geq n$, then the weight of $\Lambda^i F \Lambda^j$ is less than $-n$, which implies that $\Lambda^i F \Lambda^j = 0$.

(4) and (5) By induction we get

$$(b + e_{n1}\lambda^n)^k = (b^{n-k})^t \lambda^n + b^k. \tag{2.7}$$

Since ϕ_n and ϕ_n^{-1} commute with Λ^i and ϕ_n satisfies (2.3),

$$\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}\Lambda^i (b + e_{n1}\lambda^n)^{n-1}\Lambda^j \phi_n(\lambda) = \Lambda^i (a\lambda + b)^{n-1}\Lambda^j. \tag{2.8}$$

But $(a\lambda + b)^n = \lambda^n$ implies that

(i) $(a\lambda + b)^{-1} = \lambda^{-n}(a\lambda + b)^{n-1}$,

(ii) $\phi_n(a\lambda + b)^{-1}\phi_n^{-1} = \lambda^{-n}(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b)^{n-1}$, so $(a\lambda + b)^{-1}$ satisfies the $n \times n$ KdV reality condition, i.e., it is in $\mathcal{L}_-$,

(iii) It follows from (2.8), (2.7), and (i) that

$$\phi_n^{-1}\Lambda^i (b^{n-1} + b^t \lambda^n)\lambda^{-n}\Lambda^j \phi_n = \Lambda^i (a\lambda + b)^{-1}\Lambda^j \ \in \mathcal{L}_-$$

If $F \in \mathcal{N}_+$, then by (2), there exist f_{ij} such that

$$F = \sum_{i,j \geq 0, i+j < n-1} f_{ij}\Lambda^i b^{n-1}\Lambda^j.$$

(iii) implies that $\phi_n^{-1}(F\lambda^{-n} + B(F))\phi_n \in \mathcal{L}_-$. But $\phi_n B(F)\phi_n^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_+$. Hence Statements (4) and (5) follow.

(6) is a consequence of Statements (4) and (5). $\square$

To prove $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$, we need to show that condition (3) of the definition of a splitting holds. In other words, let L denote the group of $f \in L(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\phi_n(\lambda) f(\lambda) \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}$ is a power series in λ^n, and $L_\pm = L \cap L_\pm(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$, we need to prove a Birkhoff type factorization theorem for L:

Theorem 2.11. (Factorization Theorem)

The multiplication map $L_+ \times L_- \to L$ is one to one and the image is open and dense. Similar result holds for the multiplication map $L_- \times L_+ \to L$.

Proof. If $f \in L$, i.e., f satisfies the nxn KdV reality condition, then there is $g(z)$ such that $f(\lambda) = \phi_n^{-1}(\lambda) g(\lambda^n)\phi_n(\lambda)$. By Birkhoff factorization, for generic g we can factor $g(z) = g_+(z) g_-(z)$. Then $\phi_n^{-1}(\lambda) g_+(\lambda^n)\phi_n(\lambda)$ lies in L_+. But $\phi_n^{-1}(\lambda) g_-(\lambda^n)\phi_n(\lambda)$ is not in L_-, so we need to factor g_-.

We claim that there exist $y \in \mathcal{N}_+$ (strictly upper triangular), $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_-$ (lower triangular), and $\eta_j \in sl(n)$ for $j \leq -2$ such that

$$g_-(z) = (\mathrm{I} + B(y))^{-1}(\mathrm{I} + B(y) + yz^{-1} + \eta z^{-1} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \eta_{-j} z^{-j}), \tag{2.9}$$

where $B : \mathcal{N}_+ \to \mathcal{N}_-$ is the map defined in Lemma 2.9. By Lemma 2.9,

$$f_- := \phi_n^{-1}(\mathrm{I} + B(y) + y\lambda^{-n} + \eta\lambda^{-n} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \eta_{-j}\lambda^{-jn})\phi_n$$

lies in L_-. Then $f = f_+ f_- \in L_+ L_-$, where

$$f_+ = \phi_n^{-1}(g_+ (\mathrm{I} + B(y))^{-1})\phi_n \ \in L_+.$$

This shows that the image of the multiplication map is open and dense. Since the multiplication map is the restriction of the multiplication map from $L_+(SL(n,\mathbb{C})) \times L_-(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ to $L(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$, it is injective.

It remains to prove the Claim, i.e., (2.9). Suppose

$$g_-(z) = \mathrm{I} + \xi z^{-1} + \sum_{j\geq 2} \xi_{-j} z^{-j}.$$

To solve (2.9), it suffices to solve the equation given by equating the coefficient of z^{-1} of (2.9), i.e.,

$$(\mathrm{I} + B(y))^{-1}(y + \eta) = \xi. \tag{2.10}$$

Or equivalently,

$$y + \eta = (\mathrm{I} + B(y))\xi.$$

So we need to find y such that for $i > 0$,

$$\pi_i(y) = \pi_i((\mathrm{I} + B(y))\xi) = \pi_i(\xi + B(y)\xi), \tag{2.11}$$

where $\pi_i(\xi) = \sum_{k-j=i} \xi_{kj} e_{kj}$. Write $y = \sum_{i+j+1<n} y_{ij} \Lambda^i b^{n-1} \Lambda^j$. Then $B(y) = \sum_{i+j+1<n} y_{ij} \Lambda^i b^t \Lambda^j$. Note that $\pi_{n-i}(y)$ determines $\pi_{-i}(B(y))$. We will use induction to solve (2.11) in terms of ξ. Since $B(y) \in \mathcal{N}_-$, by (2.11) we have $\pi_{n-1}(y) = \pi_{n-1}(\xi)$. This solves $\pi_{n-1}(y)$. By (2.11),

$$\pi_{n-2}(y) = \pi_{n-2}(\xi) + \pi_{-1}(B(y))\pi_{n-1}(\xi).$$

But $\pi_{-1}(B(y))$ is determined by $\pi_{n-1}(y)$, so $\pi_{n-2}(y)$ can be solved in terms of ξ. Suppose we have solved $\pi_{n-j}(y)$ for all $j \leq k-1$. Then (2.11) gives

$$\pi_{n-k}(y) = \pi_{n-k}(\xi) + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k-1} \pi_{-j}(B(y))\pi_{n-k+j}(\xi).$$

But $\pi_{-j}(B(y))$ can be computed from $\pi_{n-j}(y)$. By the induction hypothesis, we can solve $\pi_{n-k}(y)$ in terms of ξ.

Once y is found, $\eta, \eta_{-2}, \ldots$ can be solved easily. This proves the claim. □

So $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$.

Construction of flows

We need two more Propositions before we construct the flows associated to the splitting $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-$.

Proposition 2.12. *The centralizer of* Λ *in* $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$, $\{C \in sl(n,\mathbb{C}) \mid C\Lambda = \Lambda C\}$, *is equal to* $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}\Lambda^i$.

Proposition 2.13. *If* $u \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$, *then* $u \in \mathcal{L}$ *if and only if* $u \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$, *i.e.* $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \Lambda^j$ *for some* $u_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. By assumption, $\phi_n(\lambda)u\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1}$ is a polynomial in λ^n. But it has degree at most $2n-2$ in λ. So $\phi_n(\lambda)u\phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} = u + C\lambda^n$, i.e., $\phi_n(\lambda)u = (u+C\lambda^n)\phi_n(\lambda)$. Compare the coefficient of λ^n and λ to conclude that $C = 0$ and $\Lambda u = u\Lambda$. By Proposition 2.12, $u \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$. But $\mathrm{tr}(u) = 0$ and $\Lambda^n = 0$, so $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\Lambda^i$ for some u_i's. □

Below is the scattering of the linear operator $\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u)$.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j\Lambda^j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ with u_j's decaying at $\pm\infty$. Then there exists a unique $M(x,\lambda)$ such that $M(x,\cdot)$ satisfies the $n\times n$ KdV reality condition,* $\lim_{x\to-\infty} M(x,\lambda) = \mathrm{I}$, *and*

$$M(\partial_x - (a\lambda + b))M^{-1} = \partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. M satisfies (2.12) means

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_x M)M^{-1} + M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1} = a\lambda + b + u, \\ \lim_{x\to-\infty} M(x,\lambda) = \mathrm{I}. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

So M can be solved. Such solution is unique is standard (cf. [2]). This is referred in the literature as the Baker function or the bare wave function of the operator $\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u)$. □

Corollary 2.15. *If u has compact support, then the bare wave function $M(x,\lambda)$ is holomorphic at $\lambda = \infty$.*

Note that formula for M involves solving an ordinary differential equation. To obtain differential operator we pass to the Q's. Set

$$M(a\lambda + b)^j M^{-1} = \sum_{i\le j} Q_{j,i}\lambda^i.$$

Proposition 2.16. *Let u, M be as in Theorem 2.14, and $\pi_\pm : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}_\pm$ the projections of $\mathcal{L}$ onto $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ with respect to $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-$ respectively. Then*

1. $\pi_+(M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1}) = a\lambda + b + u$,
2. $(\partial_x M)M^{-1} = -\pi_-(M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1})$,
3. $[\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u),\ M(a\lambda + b)^j M^{-1}] = 0$,
4. *the j-th flow is*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_j} = \partial_x(Q_{j,0}) - [b + u, Q_{j,0}] = [a, Q_{j,-1}], \tag{2.14}$$

5. *$Q_{1,i}$ is a polynomial differential operator for u for $i \le 0$, $Q_{1,0} = b+u$, and $Q_{j,i}$'s can be computed from $Q_{1,k}$'s,*
6. *$Q^{nk+j} = Q^j\lambda^{nk}$, $Q_{nk+j,i+nk} = Q_{j,i}$ for $0 \le j \le n-1$ and $i \le j$.*

Proof. (2.12) implies that

$$(\partial_x M)M^{-1} + M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1} = a\lambda + b + u. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $(\partial_x M)M^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_-$, (1) and (2) follow from (2.15) and Theorem 2.6.

To prove (3), let $Q = M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}[\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u),\ Q] &= [(\partial_x M)M^{-1}, Q] - [a\lambda + b + u,\ Q], \quad \text{by}(2.15)\\ &= [-Q, Q] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $[\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u),\ Q^j] = [-Q, Q^j] = 0$. This proves (3).

Compare coefficient of λ^i in $[\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u), Q] = 0$ to get the following recursive formula

$$\partial_x(Q_{j,i})_x - [b + u, Q_{j,i}] = [a, Q_{j,i-1}]. \tag{2.16}$$

Let

$$J = a\lambda + b.$$

The j-th flow of the splitting $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ and vacuum sequence $\{J^j \mid j \geq 1\}$ (defined in section 1) is

$$[\partial_x - (J + u),\quad \partial_{t_j} - \pi_+(MJ^jM^{-1})] = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

where M is the bare wave function of $\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u)$. The LHS of the j-th flow (2.17) is a degree $j + 1$ polynomial in λ, so the coefficients of λ^i with $0 \leq i \leq j + 1$ must be zero. But as a consequence of the recursive formula (2.16), for $1 \leq i \leq j + 1$ the coefficient of λ^i's in both sides of (2.17) are equal. So (2.17) holds if and only if the constant term is equal to zero, i.e., u satisfies (2.14).

(5) and (6) follow from the fact that $MJ^jM^{-1} = Q^j$ and $J^n = \lambda^n\mathrm{I}$. □

In addition we have

Proposition 2.17. *The following are equivalent:*

1. *u is a solution of the j-th $n \times n$ KdV flow* (2.14),
2. (2.17) *holds for all λ,*
3. $$\begin{cases}\partial_x E = (a\lambda + b + u)E,\\ \partial_{t_j} E = \pi_+(M(a\lambda + b)^j M^{-1})E,\\ E(0, 0, \lambda) = \mathrm{I},\end{cases}$$
   has a unique solution $E(x, t, \lambda)$, (which is called the frame of u).

Remark 2.18. Set $J = a\lambda + b$ and $Q = MJM^{-1}$ as before.

1. Since $J^n = \lambda^n\mathrm{I}$, $MJ^{nk}M^{-1} = \lambda^{nk}\mathrm{I}$, the nk-th $n \times n$ KdV flow is stationary.
2. Because $Q^n = \lambda^n$, we have $Q^{nk+j} = \lambda^{nk}Q^j$. So we can also use two indices to label the $n \times n$ KdV flows: The (j, k)-th flow is
   $$[\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + u),\ \partial_{t_{j,k}} - \pi_+(Q^j\lambda^{nk})] = 0,$$
   for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $k \geq 0$ integer. The (j, k)-th flow written as an evolution equation is
   $$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_{j,k}} = \partial_x(Q_{j,-nk}) - [b + u, Q_{j,-nk}] = [a, Q_{j,-nk-1}]$$
   with $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

Formal inverse scattering

Since $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\{J^j \mid j \geq 1, j \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod})\, n\}$ is a vacuum sequence, the formal inverse scattering given in section 1 works for $n \times n$ KdV flows. We describe the construction again here. First note that the vacuum frame commutes with J:

Proposition 2.19. *Let $J = a\lambda + b$, $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)$, $t_1 = x$, and V the vacuum frame,*

$$V(t, \lambda) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j J^j\right),$$

Then $V(t, \cdot) \in L_+$ and $VJV^{-1} = J$.

Given $f \in L_-$, we use the factorization Theorem 2.11 to construct a local solution of the $n \times n$ KdV flows:

Theorem 2.20. *Let t and $V(t, \lambda)$ be as in Proposition 2.19, and $f \in L_-$. Then there exist an open subset $\mathcal{U}_0$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and unique $M(t) \in L_-$ and $E(t) \in L_+$ for all $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_N) \in \mathcal{U}_0$ such that*

$$Vf^{-1} = M^{-1}E.$$

Moreover,

1. *$u_f = [M_{-1}, a]$ is a solution of the j-th $n \times n$ KdV flow for $1 \leq j \leq N$, where M_{-1} is the coefficient of λ^{-1} for M,*
2. *E is the frame for u_f, i.e.,*

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_{t_1} E)E^{-1} = \pi_+(MJM^{-1}) = J + u, \\ (\partial_{t_j} E)E^{-1} = \pi_+(MJ^jM^{-1}), \\ E(0, 0, \lambda) = \mathrm{I}, \end{cases} .$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, there exist an open subset $\mathcal{U}_0$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and unique $E(t, \lambda)$ and $M(t, \lambda)$ such that $Vf^{-1} = M^{-1}E$ and $M(t, \cdot) \in L_-$ and $E(t, \cdot) \in L_+$ for all $t \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Here $E(t, \lambda) = E(t)(\lambda)$ and $M(t, \lambda) = M(t)(\lambda)$.

Let $x = t_1$. Since $E = MVf^{-1}$, we have

$$(\partial_x E)E^{-1} = (\partial_x M)M^{-1} + M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1}.$$

But $(\partial_x E)E^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_+$ and $(\partial_x M)M^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_-$ imply that

$$(\partial_x E)E^{-1} = \pi_+(M(a\lambda + b)M^{-1}) = a\lambda + b + u, \quad u = [M_{-1}, a].$$

By Proposition 2.13, $u = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i \Lambda^i$ for some smooth functions $u_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, $(\partial_{t_j} E)E^{-1} = \pi_+(MJ^jM^{-1})$. □

Corollary 2.21. *Given initial condition $u_0(x)$, $x = t_1$, and suppose there is a bare wave function M for $\partial_x - (J + u_0)$. Set $f(\lambda) = M(0, \lambda)$. Then the solution u_f constructed in Theorem 2.20 is a solution of the $n \times n$ KdV flows with $u_f(x, 0) = u_0(x)$.*

An equivalent splitting

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to the standard loop algebra

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \{B(z) = \sum_j B_j z^j \mid B_j \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})\}.$$

In fact, the map $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(z) \to \mathcal{L}$ defined by

$$\Phi(B)(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} B(\lambda^n) \phi_n(\lambda)$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism. So the splitting of $\mathcal{L}$ gives a non-standard splitting of $\mathcal{L}(z)$. This splitting is by no means obvious. It would be nice to generalize this construction for other simple Lie algebras.

The following Theorem is a consequence of Lemma 2.10.

Theorem 2.22. *Let* $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(z) \to \mathcal{L}$ *denote the isomorphism defined by*

$$\Phi(A)(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda)^{-1} A(\lambda^n) \phi_n(\lambda),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm(z) := \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_\pm)$*. Then*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(z) = \{A(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j z^j \mid A_j \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-(z) = \{A(z) = \sum_{j \leq -1} A_j z^j + B(\pi_{\mathcal{N}_+}(A_{-1}))\},$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{N}_+}(\xi)$ *is the projection of* ξ *onto* $\mathcal{N}_+$ *with respect to* $sl(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{N}_+ + \mathcal{B}_-$ *and* $B : \mathcal{N}_+ \to \mathcal{N}_-$ *is the linear map defined in Lemma 2.10 (4).*

Set

$$\hat{J} = e_{n1} z + b.$$

Then $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(z), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-(z))$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}(z)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}} = \{\hat{J}^j \mid j \geq 1\}$ is a vacuum sequence. The $n \times n$ KdV flows are for $\partial_x - (\hat{J} + u)$ with $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \Lambda^j$:

$$[\partial_x - (\hat{J} + u), \quad \partial_{t_j} - \hat{\pi}_+(\hat{M} \hat{J}^j \hat{M}^{-1})] = 0,$$

where $\hat{\pi}_+$ is the projection of $\mathcal{L}(z)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(z)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}(z) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(z) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-(z)$ and $\hat{M}$ is the bare wave function of $\partial_x - (\hat{J} + u)$, i.e., $\hat{M}(\partial_x - \hat{J})\hat{M}^{-1} = \partial_x - (\hat{J} + u)$. The scattering theory works the same way as in $\mathcal{L}$.

For example, when $n = 2$, we have $\Lambda = e_{21}$ and the splitting of $\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(z) = \{A(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j z^j \mid A_j \in sl(2, \mathbb{C})\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-(z) = \{A(z) = y_2 e_{21} + \sum_{j < 0} A_j z^j \mid A_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_3 & -y_1 \end{pmatrix}\}.$$

For $n = 3$, we have $\Lambda = e_{21} + (1 + \alpha) e_{32}$, and the splitting is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(z) = \{A(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j z^j \mid A_j \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})\},$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_-(z)$ is the subalgebra of $A(z) = A_0 + \sum_{j<0} A_j z^j$ such that

$$A_0 = a_{13}(e_{21} + e_{32}) + (a_{23}(1+\alpha) + \frac{a_{12}}{1+\alpha})e_{31},$$

where a_{ij} is the ij-th entry of A_{-1} and $\alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$.

3. Equivalence of GD-flows and $n \times n$ KdV flows

In this section we show that the phase space of the $n \times n$ KdV flows is isomorphic to the phase space of the the GD flows. We then show the flows correspond under the isomorphism.

The phase spaces of the $n\times n$ KdV flows and the GD flows are respectively

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\partial_x - (a\lambda + b + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \Lambda^j) \mid u_j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ smooth}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{P} = \{P = \partial_x^n - (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j \partial_x^{j-1}) \mid \xi_j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ smooth}\},$$

where $a = \mathrm{diag}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ and $b = e_{12} + e_{23} + \cdots + e_{n-1,n}$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{P}$ is isomorphic to

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{\partial_x - (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j e_{n,n-j}) \mid \xi_j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ smooth}\}.$$

We will prove that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are isomorphic. We need the following simple Lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *For $0 \le j \le n-1$, the linear map $\mathrm{ad}(b) : \mathcal{G}_{-(j+1)} \to \mathcal{G}_{-j}$ is one to one and its image is $\{\xi \in \mathcal{G}_{-j} \mid \mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\xi) = 0\}$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\xi) = \mathrm{Tr}(b^j \xi) = \sum_{k-i=-j} \xi_{ik}$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Given $D = \partial_x - (a\lambda + b + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i \Lambda^i) \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unique $\triangle : \mathbb{R} \to N_-$ depending on u_j's such that*

$$\tilde{D} = \triangle \phi_n D \phi_n^{-1} \triangle^{-1} = \partial_x - (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i(x) e_{n,n-i})$$

for some $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$, i.e., $\tilde{D} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Conversely, given $\tilde{D} = \partial_x - (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i(x) e_{n,n-i})$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, there exists a unique $\triangle : \mathbb{R} \to N_-$ such that $\phi_n^{-1} \triangle^{-1} \tilde{D} \triangle \phi_n$ is in $\mathcal{M}$. In other words, the map $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ defined by

$$\Psi(D) = \triangle \phi_n D \phi_n^{-1} \triangle^{-1}$$

is a bijection.

Proof. Note that

$$\phi_n D\phi_n^{-1} = \partial_x - (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\Lambda^i).$$

So $\triangle\phi_n D\phi_n^{-1}\triangle^{-1} = \tilde{D}$ if and only if

$$\triangle(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\Lambda^i)\triangle^{-1} + (\partial_x\triangle)\triangle^{-1} = e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i e_{n,n-i},$$

i.e.,

$$\triangle(e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\Lambda^i) + \partial_x\triangle = (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i e_{n,n-i})\triangle.$$

Or equivalently,

$$[\triangle, b] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i e_{n,n-i}\triangle - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\triangle\Lambda^i - \partial_x\triangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Write $\triangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\triangle_j$ with $\triangle_0 = \mathrm{I}$ and $\triangle_j \in \mathcal{G}_{-j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Since the right hand side of (3.1) lies in $\oplus_{j=1}^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{-j}$, $[\triangle_1, b] = 0$, so $\triangle_1 = 0$. Compare $\mathcal{G}_{-j}$ component of (3.1) for $1 \leq j \leq n-2$ to get

$$[\triangle_{j+1}, b] = \sum_{i=1}^{j}\xi_i e_{n,n-i}\triangle_{j-i} - \sum_{i=1}^{j} u_i\triangle_{j-i}\Lambda^i - \partial_x\triangle_j. \tag{3.2}$$

Given u_i's, we want to solve $\triangle_j$ and ξ_j by the induction on j.

Suppose we have solved $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{j-1}$ and $\triangle_1, \ldots, \triangle_j$. But $\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(b^j X)$. By Lemma 3.1, Tr_{-j} of the RHS of (3.2) must be zero, which implies that

$$\xi_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\xi_i\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(e_{n,n-i}\triangle_{j-i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} u_i\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\triangle_{j-i}\Lambda^i - \partial_x\triangle_j) = 0.$$

This proves that ξ_j and $\triangle_{j+1}$ can be computed uniquely from $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{j-1}$ and $\triangle_1, \ldots, \triangle_j$ using (3.2).

To prove the converse, we need to solve u_j's and $\triangle_j$'s from given ξ_j's from (3.1), i.e. (3.2). Suppose we have solved $\triangle_1, \ldots, \triangle_j$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}$. Again Tr_{-j} of the RHS of (3.2) is zero implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j}\xi_i\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(e_{n,n-i}\triangle_{j-i}) - \mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\partial_x\triangle_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} u_i\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\triangle_{j-i}\Lambda^i) - u_j\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\Lambda^j) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.9 (2), $\mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\Lambda^j) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. So we can use the induction hypothesis to solve $\triangle_{j+1}$ and u_j. □

Theorem 3.2 implies that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are isomorphic. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is clearly isomorphic to $\mathfrak{P}$. In the rest of the section, we want to prove that the GD flows on $\mathfrak{P}$ maps to the $n \times n$ KdV flows on $\mathcal{M}$. So the $n \times n$ KdV flows are the GD-flows via the bijection Ψ.

Let $t_1 = x$, $J = a\lambda + b$, and $V = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^N t_j J^j)$ the vacuum frame for $j = 1, \ldots, N$ flows in the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy. Let

$$\tilde{J} = e_{n1}\lambda^n + b = \phi_n J \phi_n^{-1}, \quad \tilde{V} = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^N t_j \tilde{J}^j).$$

So

$$\partial_{t_j} V = J^j V, \quad \partial_{t_j} \tilde{V} = \tilde{J}^j \tilde{V}.$$

For a pseudo-differential operator $\tau = \sum_{j \leq n_0} \tau_j \partial_x^j$, we use the following notation:

$$\tau_+ = \sum_{j \geq 0} \tau_j \partial_x^j, \quad \tau_- = \sum_{j < 0} \tau_j \partial_x^j,$$
$$\tau' = \sum_{j \leq n_0} \tau_j' \partial_x^j, \quad \tau_j' = \frac{\partial \tau_j}{\partial x},$$
$$\tau^{(i)} = \sum_{j \leq n_0} (\partial_x^i \tau_j) \partial_x^j.$$

It is known (cf. [9]) that given $P = \partial_x^n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j \partial_x^{j-1} \in \mathfrak{P}$, there is a pseudo-differential operator σ of the form

$$\sigma = \mathrm{I} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{-j} \partial_x^{-j}$$

such that

$$P = \sigma \partial_x^n \sigma^{-1}.$$

Suppose P flows according to the j-th GD flow,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t_j} = [(P^{j/n})_+, P] = [P, (P^{j/n})_-]. \tag{3.3}$$

It is standard in the literature (cf. [9]) that if $P = \sigma \partial_x \sigma^{-1}$ satisfies (3.3), then

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t_j} \sigma^{-1} = -(\sigma \partial^j \sigma^{-1})_-,$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $D \in \mathcal{M}$, $\tilde{D} = \Psi(D) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ as in Theorem 3.2, $P - \lambda^n$ the order n operator corresponding to $\tilde{D}$, σ the pseudo differential operator such that $P = \sigma \partial_x^n \sigma^{-1}$, and $\tilde{V} = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^N t_j \tilde{J}^j)$. Define $S(\sigma) = (S_{ij}(\sigma))$ by*

$$S_{ij}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i < j, \\ C_{i-1,j-1} \sigma^{(i-j)}, & \text{if } i \geq j, \end{cases}$$

where $C_{k,m}$ *is the binomial coefficient* $\frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!}$. *Set* $\tilde{F} = S(\sigma)\tilde{V}$. *Then* $\tilde{D}\tilde{F} = 0$ *and* $\tilde{F}$ *is a power series in* λ^n.

Proof. Let $\tilde{V}_i$ denote the i-th row of $\tilde{V}$, and $\tilde{V}_{ij}$ the ij-th entry of $\tilde{V}$. Since $\partial_x \tilde{V} = (e_{n1}\lambda^n + b)\tilde{V}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x \tilde{V}_i = \tilde{V}_{i+1}, & 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ \partial_x \tilde{V}_n = \lambda^n \tilde{V}_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

But $\partial_x^n \tilde{V}_1 = \lambda^n \tilde{V}_1$ and $P = \sigma\partial_x^n \sigma^{-1}$ imply that

$$P(\sigma\tilde{V}_1) = \lambda^n \tilde{V}_1.$$

Here

$$P - \lambda^n = \partial_x^n - ((\lambda^n + \xi_1) + \xi_2\partial_x + \cdots + \xi_{n-1}\partial_x^{n-2}).$$

Let $\tilde{F}$ be the matrix whose first row $\tilde{F}_1$ is $\sigma\tilde{V}_1$ and the $i+1$-th row is defined by

$$\tilde{F}_{i+1} = \partial_x \tilde{F}_i$$

for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Since $(P - \lambda^n)\tilde{F}_1 = 0$, $\tilde{D}\tilde{F} = 0$. Then the Proposition follows from (3.4) and the formula

$$\partial_x \sigma = \sigma' + \sigma\partial_x.$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{F}_2 = \partial_x \tilde{F}_1 = \partial_x \sigma\tilde{V}_1 = (\sigma' + \sigma\partial_x)\tilde{V}_1 = \sigma'\tilde{V}_1 + \sigma\tilde{V}_2, \\ &\tilde{F}_3 = \partial_x \tilde{F}_2 = \partial_x \sigma'\tilde{V}_1 + \partial_x \sigma\tilde{V}_2 = \sigma''\tilde{V}_1 + 2\sigma'\tilde{V}_2 + \sigma\tilde{V}_3, \\ &\cdots = \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_x \tilde{V} = \tilde{J}\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{J} = e_{n1}\lambda^n + b$, $\tilde{V}$ is a polynomial in λ^n. Note that both σ and $S(\sigma)$ are independent of λ. So $\tilde{F}$ is a power series in λ^n. □

Corollary 3.4. *The operator* $S(\sigma) = (S_{ij}(\sigma))$ *defined in Proposition 3.3 is determined by the following properties:*

1. $S(\sigma)$ *is lower triangular,*
2. $S_{ii}(\sigma) = \sigma$ *for all* $1 \le i \le n$,
3. $(S(\sigma))' - [e_{n1}\lambda^n + b, S(\sigma)]$ *is zero except the* n*-th row (here the* ij*-th entry of* $(S(\sigma))'$ *is* $(S_{ij}(\sigma))'$.

Proposition 3.5. S *has the following properties:*

- (i) S *is linear,*
- (ii) $S(\sigma\tau) = S(\sigma)S(\tau)$,
- (iii) $S(\sigma) = \sigma \mathrm{I} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma^{(j)} \frac{C^j}{j!}$, *where* $C = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k e_{k+1,k}$.

Proof. It is clear that S is linear. We use Corollary 3.4 to prove (ii). A simple computation implies that $T = S(\sigma)S(\tau)$, $T_{ii} = \sigma\tau$, and

$$T' - [\tilde{J}, T] = ((S(\sigma))' - [\tilde{J}, S(\sigma)])S(\tau) + S(\sigma)((S(\tau)' - [\tilde{J}, S(\tau)]).$$

But T is lower triangular, $S(\sigma))' - [\tilde{J}, S(\sigma)]$ and $S(\tau)' - [\tilde{J}, S(\tau)]$ are zero except the n-th row. So $T' - [\tilde{J}, T]$ is zero except the n-th row too. By Corollary 3.4, T must be equal to $S(\sigma\tau)$. Statement (iii) follows from Proposition 3.3. □

Corollary 3.6.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} S(\sigma) = S(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t_j}) = S(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t_j}\sigma^{-1})S(\sigma).$$

Recall that for a pseudo-differential operator $T = \sum_{j \le n_0} T_j \partial_x^j$,

$$T_+ = \sum_{j \ge 0} T_j \partial_x^j, \quad T_- = \sum_{j<0} T_j \partial_x^j.$$

If $P = \sigma \partial^n \sigma^{-1}$ satisfies the j-th GD flow, then

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t_j} = -(\sigma \partial^j \sigma^{-1})_-.$$

By Corollary 3.6,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} S(\sigma) = -S((\sigma \partial^j \sigma^{-1})_-)S(\sigma).$$

We need the following Lemmas:

Lemma 3.7. *Let T be a pseudo-differential operator, and $\tilde{F} = S(\sigma)\tilde{V}$ as in Proposition 3.3, $L(z)$, and $L_\pm(z)$, $\mathcal{L}(z)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\pm(z)$ as in Theorem 2.22. Let $\tilde{L}$ denote the group of power series in λ^n, i.e., $\tilde{L} = \phi_n L \phi_n^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{L}_\pm = \phi_n L_\pm \phi_n^{-1}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm$ the corresponding Lie algebras. Then*

$$\tilde{\pi}_-((T_-(S(\sigma)\tilde{V}))\tilde{F}^{-1}) = \tilde{\pi}_-(T(S(\sigma)\tilde{V})\tilde{F}^{-1}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_\pm$ is the projection of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{E}$ denote the solution of

$$\tilde{D}\tilde{E} = 0, \quad \tilde{E}(0, \lambda) = \mathrm{I}.$$

Then $\tilde{E}(t, \cdot) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$, and hence $(T_+\tilde{E})\tilde{E}^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$. Since $\tilde{D}\tilde{F} = 0$, there exists f independent of all t_j's such that $\tilde{F} = \tilde{E}f$. So $(T_+\tilde{F})\tilde{F}^{-1} = (T_+\tilde{E})\tilde{E}^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$. But

$$((T_-(\tilde{F}))\tilde{F}^{-1})_- = ((T(\tilde{F}))\tilde{F}^{-1} - (T_+(\tilde{F}))\tilde{F}^{-1})_-.$$

(Here we use $\xi_\pm$ to denote $\tilde{\pi}_\pm(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$). We have shown that the second term is in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$. Thus (3.5) is true. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $D, \tilde{D}, \sigma, P = \sigma\partial_x\sigma^{-1}$, $\tilde{F} = S(\sigma)\tilde{V}$ as in Proposition 3.3, and $\tilde{L}$, $\tilde{L}_\pm$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm$ as in Lemma 3.7. Set $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{F}\tilde{V}^{-1}$. Factor $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{\eta}_+\tilde{M}$ with $\tilde{\eta}_+ \in \tilde{L}_+$ and $\tilde{M} \in \tilde{L}_-$. If P is a solution of the j-th GD flow, i.e., $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t_j}\sigma^{-1} = -(\sigma\partial^j\sigma^{-1})_-$, then*

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{M}}{\partial t_j}\tilde{M}^{-1} = -\tilde{\pi}_-(\tilde{M}\tilde{J}\tilde{M}^{-1}). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. We use $\xi_\pm$ to denote $\tilde\pi_\pm(\xi)$. Use $\partial_{t_j}\tilde V = \tilde J^j\tilde V$ and the product rule to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\tilde Q}{\partial t_j}\tilde Q^{-1} &= (S(\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial t_j}\sigma^{-1})S(\sigma)\tilde V + S(\sigma)\tilde J^j\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1} - (S(\sigma)\tilde V)\tilde V^{-1}\tilde J^j\tilde Q^{-1}\\ &= -(S((\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})_-)S(\sigma)\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1} + (S(\sigma)\tilde J^j\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1} - \tilde Q\tilde J^j\tilde Q^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}((S((\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})_-)S(\sigma)\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1})_- &= ((S(\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})S(\sigma)\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1})_-\\ &= (S(\sigma)\partial_x^j\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1})_- = ((S(\sigma)\tilde J^j\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1})_-.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$(\partial_{t_j}\tilde Q)\tilde Q^{-1} = ((S(\sigma)\tilde J^j\tilde V)\tilde F^{-1})_+ - \tilde Q\tilde J^j\tilde Q^{-1}.$$

But

$$(\partial_{t_j}\tilde M)\tilde M^{-1} = -\tilde\eta_+^{-1}\partial_{t_j}\tilde\eta_+ + \tilde\eta_+^{-1}(\partial_{t_j}\tilde Q)\tilde Q^{-1}\tilde\eta_+$$

lies in $\tilde{\mathcal L}_-$ (a power series in λ^n). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\tilde M}{\partial t_j}\tilde M^{-1} &= (\tilde\eta_+^{-1}\frac{\partial\tilde Q}{\partial t_j}\tilde Q^{-1}\tilde\eta_+)_-\\ &= -(\tilde\eta_+^{-1}\tilde Q\tilde J^j\tilde Q^{-1}\tilde\eta_+)_- = -(\tilde M\tilde J^j\tilde M^{-1})_-.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.6). □

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose* $\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial t_j} = -(\sigma\partial^j\sigma^{-1})_-$. *Let* $\tilde V = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^N t_j\tilde J)$, $\tilde F = S(\sigma)\tilde V$, $\tilde Q = \tilde F\tilde V^{-1}$. *Factor* $\tilde Q = \tilde\eta_+\tilde M$ *with* $\tilde\eta_+ \in \tilde{\mathcal L}_+$ *and* $\tilde M \in \tilde{\mathcal L}_-$. *Let* $M = \phi_n^{-1}\tilde M\phi_n$, *and* $\hat E = MV$. *Then*

(1)
$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial M}{\partial t_j}M^{-1} = -\pi_-(MJ^jM^{-1}),\\ \frac{\partial\hat E}{\partial t_j}E^{-1} = \pi_+(MJ^jM^{-1}),\end{cases}$$

where $\pi_\pm$ *is the projection of* $\mathcal L$ *onto* $\mathcal L_\pm$,

(2) $\frac{\partial\hat E}{\partial x} = J + u$, *where* $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}u_j\Lambda^j$,

(3) u *satisfies the* j*-th* $n\times n$ *KdV flow.*

Proof. Since $M = \phi_n^{-1}\tilde M\phi_n$ and ϕ_n is independent of t, we have

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial t_j}M^{-1} = -(MJ^jM^{-1})_-.$$

But $\hat E = MV$, so we get

$$\frac{\partial\hat E}{\partial t_j}\hat E^{-1} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_j}M^{-1} + MJ^jM^{-1} = (MJ^jM^{-1})_+.$$

Since $\hat E$ satisfies the $n\times n$ KdV reality condition, $(\partial_x\hat E)\hat E^{-1} = J + u$ also satisfies the$n\times n$ KdV reality condition. But J satisfies the $n\times n$ KdV reality condition, so u satisfies too. By Proposition 2.13, u is of the form

$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \Lambda^j$. The rest of the Theorem follows from the way we define the $n \times n$ KdV flows. $\square$

4. Tau functions

We review G. Wilson's construction of τ functions for the $\mathcal{G}$-AKNS flows given in [10], compute $\partial_{t_j}\partial_{t_1} \ln \tau$ in terms of $Q = MJM^{-1}$, and prove that the second partial derivatives of $\ln \tau$ give rise to solutions of the $n \times n$ KdV flows.

Let L be a Lie group, $\mathcal{L}$ its Lie algebra, L_+, L_- subgroups of L, and $\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-$ the corresponding Lie subalgebras. Assume that $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$. Suppose w is a 2-cocycle of $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., w is a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{L}$ that satisfies the following condition:

$$w([\xi_1, \xi_2], \xi_3) + w([\xi_2, \xi_3], \xi_1) + w([\xi_3, \xi_1], \xi_2) = 0$$

for all $\xi_i \in \mathcal{L}$. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} + \mathbb{C}c$$

is the central extension of $\mathcal{L}$ with bracket

$$[\xi + rc, \eta]_1 = [\xi, \eta] + w(\xi, \eta)c$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{L}$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}$. We still use w to denote the left invariant 2-forms defined by w. We choose the 2-cocyle w such that the left invariant form is in the integral cohomology class $H^*(L, 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})$. The central extension $\hat{L}$ of the group L is a principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over L whose Chern class is w (cf. [6]). The central extension $\hat{L}$ is the group of equivalence classes of triples

$$\{(g, \gamma, z) \mid g \in L, \gamma : [0,1] \to L \text{ such that } \gamma(0) = e, \gamma(1) = g, z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}\}/\sim .$$

Here $(g_1, \gamma_1, z_1) \sim (g_2, \gamma_2, z_2)$ if $g_1 = g_2$ and

$$z_2 = z_1 \exp\left(\int_{\Omega(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)} w\right),$$

where $\Omega(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is a surface bounded by $\gamma_1 * I(\gamma_2)$ (the closed path γ_1 followed by $I(\gamma_2)$ and $I(\gamma)(s) = \gamma(1-s)$). Let $[(g, \gamma, z)]$ denote the equivalence class of (g, γ, z). The multiplication is given by

$$[(g_1, \gamma_1, z_1)] \cdot [(g_2, \gamma_2, z_2)] = [(g_1 g_2, \gamma_1 * (g_1\gamma_2), z_1 z_2)].$$

The projection $\pi : \hat{L} \to L$, $\pi([(g, \gamma, z)]) = g$, is a principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle with first Chern class w.

Let $i_\pm : L_\pm \to L$ denote the inclusion maps. Assume that

$$i_\pm^* w = 0.$$

Then $\pi \mid L_\pm$ is trivial. In fact, there is a natural section $S : L_+ \cup L_- \to \hat{L}$ defined by $S(g_\pm) = [(g_\pm, \gamma_\pm, 1)]$, where $\gamma_\pm$ can be chosen to be any path in

$L_\pm$ joining e to $\gamma_\pm$. Since $i_\pm^* w = 0$, this section is independent of the choice of path $\gamma_\pm$ as long as it lies in $L_\pm$. Note that

$$S(g_1 g_2) = S(g_1)S(g_2)$$

if both g_1, g_2 lie in L_+ or both lie in L_-.

The μ function on the big cell $\mathcal{O} = (L_+L_-) \cap (L_-L_+)$

Given $g \in \mathcal{O}$, there exist unique $f_\pm, g_\pm \in L_\pm$ such that

$$g = f_+ f_-^{-1} = g_-^{-1} g_+.$$

Since $S(f_+)S(f_-^{-1})$ and $S(g_-^{-1})S(g_+)$ lie in the same fiber of the principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle $\hat{L}$ over L, they differ by a scalar, which we call $\mu(g)$. It follows that $\mu(g)$ is defined by the following identity:

$$S(f_+)S(f_-^{-1}) = \mu(g) S(g_-^{-1}) S(g_+).$$

To compute $\mu(f)$, we first factor $f = f_+ f_-^{-1} = g_-^{-1} g_+$ with $f_\pm, g_\pm \in L_\pm$. Let $\gamma_\pm$ be a path joining e to $f_\pm$ in $L_\pm$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_\pm$ a path in $L_\pm$ joining e to $g_\pm$. Then $\mu(f)$ is given by the integral

$$\mu(f) = \exp\Big(\int_\Omega w\Big),$$

where Ω is the surface bounded by the curves $\gamma_+ * (f_+ \gamma_-^{-1})$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_-^{-1} * (g_-^{-1} \tilde{\gamma}_+)$.

Tau function

Assume that $\mathcal{J} = \{J^j \mid j \geq 1\}$ is a vacuum sequence in $\mathcal{L}_+$. Given $f \in L_-$, the tau function is a function of $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)$:

$$\tau_f(t) = \mu(V(t) f_-^{-1}),$$

where $V(t) = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^N J^j t_j)$ is the vacuum frame for the flows generated by $J, J^2, \ldots, J^N$. In other words, given f in the big cell, to compute $\tau_f(t)$, we first factor $V(t) f^{-1} = M(t)^{-1} E(t)$ with $M(t) \in L_-$ and $E(t) \in L_+$. Here $V(t)$ is the vacuum frame. Let $\gamma_+(t, \cdot)$ be a path in L_+ joining e to $V(t)$, γ_- a path in L_- joining e to f, $\tilde{g}_+(t, \cdot)$ a path in L joining e to $E(t)$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_-(t, \cdot)$ a path in L_- joining e to $M(t)$. Then $\tau_f(t)$ is given by integrating the 2-form w over a surface bounded by the following two curves

$$\gamma_+(t, \cdot) * (V(t) * \gamma_-^{-1}), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_-(t, \cdot)^{-1} * (M^{-1}(t) \tilde{\gamma}_+(t, \cdot)).$$

Although μ and $\tau_f(t)$ are not in general computable, the variation of μ and τ_f are integrals. In fact, given a curve $f(\epsilon)$ in the big cell of L, use the formulas for $\mu(f(\epsilon))$ and $\tau_{f(\epsilon)}(t)$ given above, we can get nice formulas for the variations $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu(f(\epsilon))$. We carry out these computations in the next two Theorems.

Theorem 4.1. *Let w be the integral 2-cocycle, B the unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $h : (-r, r) \times B \to L$ a smooth map. Set $h_\epsilon = h(\epsilon, \cdots)$. Then*

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \int_B h_\epsilon^* w = \int_{\partial B} w(h^{-1}\partial_\epsilon h, h^{-1} \partial_s h) ds.$$

Proof. Let (x, y) denote coordinates of B, $X = h^{-1}\partial_x h$, $Y = h^{-1}\partial_y h$, and $Z = h^{-1}\partial_\epsilon h$. Then

$$\int_B h_\epsilon^* w = \int_B w(X, Y) dxdy.$$

So

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\int_B h_\epsilon^* w = \int_B w(\partial_\epsilon X, Y) + w(X, \partial_\epsilon Y) dxdy.$$

The formula follows from

1. w is a 2-cocyle,
2. $\partial_x Y = \partial_y X + [Y, X]$, $\partial_x Z = \partial_\epsilon X + [Z, X]$ and $\partial_y Z = \partial_\epsilon Y + [Z, Y]$,
3. the Stokes' Theorem.

□

Next we compute the derivatives of $\ln \tau$ for the $n \times n$ KdV flows. Given $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$, define

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \mathrm{Res}(\mathrm{Tr}(\xi\eta)) = \text{the coefficient of } \lambda^{-1} \text{ of } \mathrm{Tr}(\xi(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)).$$

It is known (cf. [4]) that

$$w(\xi, \eta) = \langle \partial_\lambda \xi, \eta \rangle = \mathrm{Res}(\mathrm{Tr}((\partial_\lambda \xi)\eta))$$

is a 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$. It follows from the definition that w vanishes on $\mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $V(t)$ be a path in L_+, $f_- \in L_-$, and*

$$V(t)f_-^{-1} = M(t)^{-1}E(t), \quad \text{with } M(t) \in L_-, E(t) \in L_+.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln \mu(V(t)f_-^{-1}) = \langle V^{-1}\partial_t V, f^{-1}\partial_\lambda f \rangle - \langle (\partial_t M)M^{-1}, (\partial_\lambda E)E^{-1} \rangle \tag{4.1}$$

$$= \langle (\partial_t V)V^{-1}, M^{-1}\partial_\lambda M \rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $p(s)$ be a path in L_- that joining e to f_-, and $\tilde{E}(t, s)$ a path in L_+ joining e to $E(t)$ for each t. By Theorem 4.1,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln \mu(V(t)f_-^{-1}) = \int_\gamma w(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_t, \gamma^{-1}\gamma_s)\, ds - \int_h w(h^{-1}h_t, h^{-1}h_s)\, ds,$$

where $\gamma(t, s) = V(t)p(s)$ and $h(t, s) = M(t)^{-1}\tilde{E}(t, s)$.

Equation (4.1) can be obtained by using the following:

1. $\langle \mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_+ \rangle = 0$,
2. $p^{-1}(p_s p^{-1})_\lambda p = (p^{-1}p_\lambda)_s$.

(4.2) is obtained by using $E = MVf^{-1}$ and $\langle \mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_+ \rangle = 0$. □

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-)$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$, $J = a\lambda + b$, and $\mathcal{J} = \{J^j \mid j \geq 1\}$ is the vacuum sequence in $\mathcal{L}_+$ that gives the $n \times n$ KdV flows. Let $V(t) = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^N t_j J^j)$ be the vacuum frame, and fix $f_- \in L_-$. Factor $V(t)f_-^{-1} = M^{-1}(t)E(t)$ with $M(t) \in L_-$ and $E(t) \in L_+$. Set $\tau(t) = \tau_{f_-}(t) = \mu(V(t)f_-^{-1})$. Then*

(1) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\ln\tau = \langle J^j, M^{-1}\partial_\lambda M\rangle$,
(2) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_j \partial t_1}\ln\tau = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, a\rangle$.

Proof. Since $V^{-1}\partial_{t_j}V = J^j$, (1) follows from (4.2).

Recall that we have $(\partial_{t_1}M)M^{-1} = -(MJM^{-1})_-$ and $(MJM^{-1})_+ = J+u$. By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{t_1}\partial_{t_j}\ln\tau = \langle J^j, \partial_{t_1}(M^{-1}\partial_\lambda M)\rangle \\
&= \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, M\partial_{t_1}(M^{-1}\partial_\lambda M)M^{-1}\rangle = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, \partial_\lambda((\partial_{t_1}M)M^{-1})\rangle \\
&= \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, \partial_\lambda(-(MJM^{-1})_-), \quad \text{since } \langle MJ^jM^{-1}\rangle, \partial_\lambda(MJM^{-1}) = 0, \\
&= \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, \partial_\lambda(MJM^{-1} - (MJM^{-1})_-)\rangle \\
&= \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, \partial_\lambda(J+u)\rangle = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, a\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We want to prove that we can solve the solution u_{f_-} constructed from f_- in the inverse scattering from $\partial^2_{t_j,t_1}\ln\tau_{f_-}$. To do this, we need more properties of $Q_{j,i}$'s.

First we define weights for element in the loop algebra to make the computation of coefficients of λ^i in $Q = MJM^{-1}$ easier to follow:

$$\nu(\lambda) = 1, \quad \nu(e_{ij}) = j-i, \quad \nu(u_k) = k+1, \quad \nu(\partial_x^i u_k) = i+k+1$$

and require that $\nu(\xi\eta) = \nu(\xi)+\nu(\eta)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&J = a\lambda + b, \\
&Q = MJM^{-1} = a\lambda + Q_0 + Q_{-1}\lambda^{-1} + \cdots + Q_{-j}\lambda^{-j} + \cdots,
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_0 = b+u$. Since $[\partial_x - (a\lambda+b+u), Q] = 0$, the Q_j's satisfy the following recursive formula

$$\partial_x(Q_{-j}) - [b+u, Q_{-j}] = [a, Q_{-(j+1)}]. \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let Q_{-j} denote the coefficient of λ^{-j} in $Q = M(a\lambda+b)M^{-1}$. Then*

(1) $\nu(Q_{-j}) = j+1$,
(2) *for $j \geq 1$, the entries of Q_{-j} are polynomial differential operators in u without constant terms,*
(3) *Q^j is a matrix of polynomial differential operators in u and has weight j.*

Proof. We prove (1) and (2) by induction. The weight of $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\Lambda^i$ is 1. So $\nu(Q_0) = 1$. Suppose $\nu(Q_{-m}) = m+1$ and is a polynomial differential operator of u for all $m \leq j-1$. Let $sl^\perp(n,\mathbb{C}) = \{x = (x_{ij}) \mid x_{ii} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Note that $\mathrm{ad}(a)$ maps $sl^\perp(n,\mathbb{C})$ bijectively onto itself. Write $Q_{-j} = Q_{-j}^\perp + T_{-j}$, where T_{-j} is diagonal and $Q_{-j}^\perp \in sl^\perp(n,\mathbb{C})$. It follows from the recursive formula (4.3), the induction hypothesis, and the fact that $\mathrm{ad}(a)$ is an isomorphism on $sl^{\perp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ that $(Q_{-j})^{\perp}$ has weight $j+1$. To prove the diagonal term T_{-j} of Q_{-j} has weight $j+1$, we compare coefficient of λ^{k-j} of

$$\mathrm{Tr}(Q^{k+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}((a\lambda + b)^{k+1})$$

to get

$$(k+1)\mathrm{Tr}(a^k Q_{-j}) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \mathrm{Tr}(P_{m,j_1,\ldots,j_r}) = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

where $P_{m,j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ is the sum of products of m of a's and $Q_{-j_1}, \ldots, Q_{-j_r}$ (in any order) such that

$$m - \sum_{i=1}^{r} j_i = k - j, \qquad m + r = k + 1.$$

Use $\nu(f_1 f_2) = \nu(f_1) + \nu(f_2)$ and the induction hypothesis to show that the weight of $P_{m,j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ is $j+1$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}(j_i + 1) = r + \sum_{i=1}^{r} j_i = m + j - k + r = j + 1.$$

Equation (4.4) is a linear system of diagonal entries of Q_j. This shows that the diagonal entries of Q_{-j} also has weight $j+1$ and are polynomial differential operators in u_i's.

To show that Q_{-j} has no constant term as differential operator in u, we only need to check it is true for Q_{-1} (then the above induction gives the rest). The recursive formula (4.3) implies that

$$\partial_x(Q_0) = [a, Q_{-1}] = \partial_x u.$$

So $Q_{-1}^{\perp} = \mathrm{ad}(a)^{-1}(\partial_x u)$. The diagonal term T_{-1} can be computed from

$$Q^n = (a\lambda + Q_0 + Q_{-1}\lambda^{-1} + \cdots)^n = \lambda^n \mathrm{I}.$$

Equate the coefficient of λ^{n-2} of the above equation to get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a^i Q_{-1} a^{n-1-i} + \sum_{i+j+k=n-2} a^i Q_0 a^j Q_0 a^k = 0.$$

Take the diagonal component of the above equation to get

$$n a^{n-1} T_{-1} + u_1 \sum_{i+j+k=n-2} a^i b a^j \Lambda a^k + a^i \Lambda a^j b a^k = 0.$$

This shows that T_{-1} is linear in u and has no constant term.

Since $\nu(Q_{-j}\lambda^{-j}) = \nu(Q_{-j}) + \nu(\lambda^{-j}) = 1$, $\nu(Q) = 1$. Then $\nu(Q^j) = j$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Write $Q_{-j} = Q_{-j}^{\perp} + T_{-j}$, where T_{-j} is diagonal and the diagonal entries of $Q_{-j}^{\perp}$ are zero. For $j \geq 1$, let Q_{-j}^{ℓ} denote the linear terms in u and u_x of the lower triangular part of $Q_{-j}^{\perp}$, and T_{-j}^{ℓ} the linear terms in u of T_{-j}. Then*

(1) $Q^\ell_{-j} = (-1)^{j-1}\sum_{i=j}^{n-1}\partial_x u_i(A^{-1}B)^{j-1}A^{-1}(\Lambda^i)$,
(2) $T^\ell_{-j} = u_j(BA^{-1})^j(\Lambda^j)$,

where $A = \mathrm{ad}(a)$ *and* $B = \mathrm{ad}(b)$.

Proof. We have shown in the proof of Theorem 4.4 that $Q^\perp_{-1} = A^{-1}(u_x)$. To compute T^ℓ_{-1}, we use the recursive formula $\partial_x Q_{-1} - [b+u, Q_{-1}] = [a, Q_{-2}]$. The diagonal terms must be zero and we only need information on the terms linear in u imply that

$$\partial_x T^\ell_{-1} - [b, (\partial_x u_1)\mathrm{ad}(a)^{-1}(\Lambda)] = 0.$$

So $\partial_x T^\ell_{-1} = (\partial_x u_1)BA^{-1}(\Lambda)$. But by Theorem 4.4, T_{-1} has no constant term. Thus $T^\ell_{-1} = u_1 BA^{-1}(\Lambda)$. This proves the Lemma is true for $j = 1$. Suppose the Lemma is true for j. Since we only need to keep track of the terms in $Q^\perp_{-(j+1)}$ that are linear in u and u_x, the recursive formula implies that

$$[a, Q^\ell_{-(j+1)}] = -([b, Q^\ell_{-j}])^\perp.$$

(This is because $\partial_x(Q^\ell_{-j})$ involves derivatives of u at least order 2 if $j \geq 1$). Then formula for $Q^\ell_{-(j+1)}$ follows. The recursive formula also implies that

$$\partial_x(T_{-(j+1)}) - [b, Q^\ell_{-(j+1)}]_0 = 0,$$

where ξ_0 is the diagonal term of ξ. Thus

$$\partial_x(T_{-(j+1)}) = (\partial_x u_j)(BA^{-1})^j(\Lambda^j).$$

By Theorem 4.4, $T_{-(j+1)}$ has no constant term, so the integration constant is zero and we get the formula for $T_{-(j+1)}$. □

Theorem 4.6. *For the* $n \times n$ *KdV flows,*

$$\partial_{t_1}\partial_{t_j}\ln\tau = \beta_j u_j + p_j(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}),$$

where $\beta_j = \mathrm{Tr}(b^j\Lambda^j) = \mathrm{Tr}_{-j}(\Lambda^j) \neq 0$ *and* p_j *is a polynomial differential operator in* $u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, $\partial_{t_1}\partial_{t_j}\ln\tau = \langle Q^j, a\rangle = \mathrm{Res}(\mathrm{Tr}(Q^j a))$, the coefficient of λ^{-1} of $\mathrm{Tr}(Q^k a)$. So $\partial_{t_1}\partial_{t_j}\ln\tau$ is the constant term of $\mathrm{Tr}(a\lambda Q^j)$, which has weight $j+1$. Since u_i has weight $i+1$ and entries of Q^j are polynomial differential operators in u,

$$\mathrm{Res}(\mathrm{Tr}(aQ^j)) = \beta_j u_j + p_j(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1})$$

for some polynomial differential operators p_j of $u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}$. It remains to compute β_j. But the coefficient of λ^{-1} of $\mathrm{Tr}(aQ^j)$ is equal to

$$j\mathrm{Tr}(a(a^{j-1}Q_{-j})) + \sum_{m, j_1, \ldots, j_r}\mathrm{Tr}(aP_{m,s,j_1,\ldots,j_r}),$$

where $P_{m,s,j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ is the products of m of a, s of Q_0, and $Q_{-j_1}, \ldots, Q_{-j_r}$ (of arbitrary order) such that

$$m - \sum_{i=1}^{r} j_i = -1, \quad j_i \geq 1, \quad m + r + s = k, \quad 0 \leq m \leq j - 2.$$

We only need to keep track of the linear terms. If $r \geq 2$, then by Theorem 4.4 $\mathrm{Tr}(P_{m,s,j_1,\ldots,j_r})$ is not linear. If $r = 1$, then P_{m,s,j_1} has to be $P_{j-s-1,s,j-s}$. The only linear term in $\mathrm{Tr}(P_{j-s-1,s,j-s})$ is $\mathrm{Tr}(a^{j-s-1} b^s Q^{\ell}_{-(j-s)})$, which has derivative. There is no P's with $r = 0$. This shows that the only term that will contribute linear term in u_j must come from $\mathrm{Tr}(a^j Q_{-j})$.

Since $\mathrm{Tr}(a^j Q_{-j})$ has weight $j + 1$, it is equal to cu_j plus a polynomial differential operator in $u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}$ for some constant c. To determine the constant c, we compare the constant coefficient of $\mathrm{Tr}(Q^{j+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}((a\lambda+b)^{j+1})$ to get

$$(j + 1)\mathrm{Tr}(a^j Q_{-j}) + \sum \mathrm{Tr}(P_{m,s,j_1,\ldots,j_r}) = 0,$$

where $P_{m,s,j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ is as before but its indices satisfy

$$m + s + r = j + 1, \quad m - \sum_{i=1}^{r} j_i = 0, \quad j_i \geq 1.$$

If $r \geq 1$, then we have shown above that $\mathrm{Tr}(P_{m,s,j_1,\ldots,j_r})$ contains derivatives of u. So it does not contribute to the linear term cu_j. If $r = 0$, then $P_{m,s}$ must be Q_0^{j+1}. But

$$\mathrm{Tr}(Q_0^{j+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}((b + u)^{j+1}) = j\mathrm{Tr}(b^j u) + \text{ nonlinear terms.}$$

But $\mathrm{Tr}(b^j u) = u_j \mathrm{Tr}(b^j \Lambda^j)$. □

By Lemma 2.9, $\beta_j = \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda^j) \neq 0$. So

Corollary 4.7. *Given $f \in L_-$, let u_f denote the solution constructed from the formal inverse scattering. Then we can write u_f in terms of $\{\partial_{t_1}\partial_{t_j} \ln \tau_{f_-} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}$.*

References

[1] Ablowitz, M.J., Kaup, D.J., Newell, A.C. and Segur, H., *The inverse scattering transform - Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems*, Stud. Appl. Math. **53** (1974), 249–315

[2] Beals, R., Coifman, R.R.,*Scattering and inverse scattering for first order systems*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **37** (1984), 39–90

[3] Drinfel'd, V.G., Sokolov, V.V., *Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type*, (Russian) Current problems in mathematics, **24** (1984), 81–180, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow

[4] Kac, V.G., *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge University Press (1985)

[5] Kupershmidt, B.A., Wilson, G., *Modifying Lax equations and the second Hamiltonian structure*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 403–436

[6] Pressley, A., Segal, G. B., *Loop Groups*, Oxford Science Publ., Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1986)

- [7] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *Poisson actions and scattering theory for integrable systems*, Surveys in Differential Geometry: Integrable systems (A supplement to J. Differential Geometry), **4** (1998), 315–402
- [8] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., **53** (2000), 1–75
- [9] van Moerbeke, P., *Integrable foundations of string theory*, Lectures on integrable systems (Sophia-Antipolis, 1991), 163–267, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [10] Wilson, G., *The τ-functions of the $\mathcal{G}$AKNS equations*, Integrable systems, the Verdier Memorial, Progress in Math., **115** (1991), 147–162
- [11] Zakharov, V.E., Manakov, S.V., *The theory of resonant interaction of wave packets in non-linear media*, Sov. Phys. JETP **42** (1974), 842-850

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697-3875

E-mail address: `cterng@math.uci.edu`

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RLM 8.100, AUSTIN, TX 78712

E-mail address: `uhlen@math.utexas.edu`